

(b)(1)
(b)(3)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(6)

(b)(3)
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(6)

From: [REDACTED] (b)(3) CIAAct
To: "Rizzo, John" [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: [AIN] RE: Last Outstanding Issue

{

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3) NatSecAct

John -

Apologize for the delay. I just heard back 10 minutes ago. The office involved still considers [REDACTED] and will not approve their use. I know this is not the answer you had hoped for. I will get additional background on the decision from them tomorrow to see if there is any remaining hope -- though the response today seemed definitive. Sorry.

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

(b)(3) NatSecAct

-----Original Message-----

From: Rizzo, John [mailto:[REDACTED]] (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2013 10:13 AM
To: [REDACTED]
(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Subject: Re: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

Since it's been nearly 2 weeks since my last missive, I thought I'd give it another shot.
Is it still stuck at the originating office? John

----- Original Message -----

From: Rizzo, John

Sent: Friday, February 01, 2013 09:11 AM

To: [redacted]

Subject: Re: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

Thanks [redacted] I understand how these things work. I appreciate your continuing efforts.

John

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

----- Original Message -----

From: [redacted]

Sent: Friday, February 01, 2013 09:07 AM

To: Rizzo, John; [redacted]

Subject: RE: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

John -

You are not being a pest and apologize for the delay. The issue is before the front office of the directorate involved (and has been for some time). I have (again) asked for a response on the matter and will let you know immediately upon receiving it. (b)(3) CIAAct

[redacted] (b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Rizzo, John [mailto:[redacted]] (b)(6)

Sent: Friday, February 01, 2013 8:19 AM

To: [redacted]

Cc: [redacted]

Subject: Re: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

See my note from Monday below. I do not mean to be a pest, but has this issue been resolved? Thanks.

John

----- Original Message -----

From: Rizzo, John

Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 06:36 AM

To: [redacted]

Cc: [redacted]

Subject: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

Hello all,

(b)(3) NatSecAct

In your January 17 letter, you stated you were still awaiting word from the relevant office about whether to grant my appeal regarding redactions made on my manuscript [redacted]

[redacted] For the reasons I gave at our meeting, I would really appreciate being able to [redacted] (b)(3) NatSecAct
[redacted] In any case, I would like to have this final issue resolved as soon as possible so I can provide a final, approved manuscript to my publisher.

As always, I appreciate your efforts.

Best,
John Rizzo

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

----- Forwarded by [redacted] on 02/27/2013 08:58 AM -----

(b)(6)

From: "Rizzo, John" [redacted]
To: [redacted]
Date: 02/15/2013 08:00 AM
Subject: [AIN] Re: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3) NatSecAct

Thanks for trying, [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
So be it. (b)(6)

Best,
John

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

----- Original Message -----

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 02:16 PM
To: Rizzo, John; [redacted]
Subject: RE: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

(b)(3) NatSecAct

John -

Apologize for the delay. I just heard back 10 minutes ago. The office involved still considers [redacted] and will not approve their use. I know this is not the answer you had hoped for. I will get additional background on the decision from them tomorrow to see if there is any remaining hope -- though the response today seemed definitive. Sorry.

[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Rizzo, John [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday February 12, 2013 10:13 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Re: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct

Richard,

Since it's been nearly 2 weeks since my last missive, I thought I'd give it another shot.
Is it still stuck at the originating office? John

----- Original Message -----

From: Rizzo, John
Sent: Friday, February 01, 2013 09:11 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Thanks [REDACTED] I understand how these things work. I appreciate your continuing efforts.
(b)(3) CIAAct
John (b)(6)

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 01, 2013 09:07 AM
To: Rizzo, John; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct

John -

You are not being a pest and apologize for the delay. The issue is before the front office of the directorate involved (and has been for some time). I have (again) asked for a response on the matter and will let you know immediately upon receiving it.

(b)(3) CIAAct
[REDACTED]
(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Rizzo, John [REDACTED] (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, February 01, 2013 8:19 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct

(b)(6)

See my note from Monday below. I do not mean to be a pest, but has this issue been resolved? Thanks.

John

----- Original Message -----

From: Rizzo, John
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2013 06:36 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Last Outstanding Issue

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Hello all,

In your January 17 letter, you stated you were still awaiting word from the relevant office about whether to grant my appeal regarding redactions made on my manus(b)(3) NatSecAct [REDACTED]
for the reasons I gave at our meeting, I would really appreciate being able t-[REDACTED] (b)(3) NatSecAct
resolved as soon as possible so I can provide a final, approved manuscript to my publisher.

As always, I appreciate your efforts.

Best,
John Rizzo